

REMARKS

Claims 1-10 are pending in this application. Claims 7-10 are withdrawn from consideration. In light of the amendments and remarks made herein, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections.

By this Amendment, Applicants have amended claims 1-6 to more appropriately recite the present invention. It is respectfully submitted that these amendments are being made without conceding the propriety of the Examiner's rejection, but merely to timely advance prosecution of the present application.

In the outstanding Official Action, the Examiner withdrew claims 7-10 from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. The Examiner further rejected claims 3-4 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Allport (USP 6,104,334, hereinafter "Allport '334"); and rejected claims 1-2 and 5-6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allport (USP 6,097,441, hereinafter "Allport '441") in view of Allport '334. Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

By this Amendment, Applicants have amended claim 3 to recite, *inter alia*, an information processing device having a TV display function and provided with a small display device wherein when a power supply is turned on, power is selectively applied to at least one of a television functional block and an information processing

block based upon a predetermined start control set by a user. During the interview conducted on March 11, 2004, the parties agreed that there was no teaching or suggestion in *Allport* '334 (nor in *Allport* '441) that is directed to selectively applying power based on a predetermined start control when a power supply is turned on. As *Allport* fails to teach or suggest this claim element, it is respectfully requested that the outstanding rejection be withdrawn.

It is respectfully submitted that claim 4 has been amended to include this claim element. As such, it is respectfully submitted that claim 4 is not anticipated by *Allport* for the reasons noted above with regard to claim 3.

**Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103 -
Allport '441/*Allport* '334**

By this Amendment, Applicants have amended claims 1-2 and 5-6 to recite that power is selectively applied to at least one of a television functional block and an information processing block based upon a predetermined start control set by a user when a power supply is turned on. As discussed during the interview on March 11, 2004, neither of the *Allport* references teach or suggest this claim element. As such, it is respectfully requested that the outstanding rejection be withdrawn.

Conclusion

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Catherine M. Voisinet (Reg. No. 52,327) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By



Terrell C. Birch, #19,382

TCB/CMV/jdm
1907-0196P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

(Rev. 02/12/2004)